

MODERN HISTORICAL METHODOLOGY VS. HADEETH METHODOLOGY (PART 5 OF 5): THE CLASSIFICATION OF HADEETH II

Rating:

Description: The various categories of hadeeth based upon the strength of the chain of narrators. Part 2.

Category: [Articles](#) [The Prophet Muhammad](#) [About His Sayings](#)

By: Reem Azzam

Published on: 11 Feb 2008

Last modified on: 19 Feb 2008

According to the fifth category, a hadeeth can also be classified with respect to the nature of its text and *isnad*. According to Al-Shafi'i, if a hadeeth reported by a trustworthy person goes against the narration of someone more reliable than him, then the hadeeth is *shadh* or "irregular". According to Ibn Hajar, if a narration by a weak reporter contradicts an authentic hadeeth, then that hadeeth is classified as *munkar* ("denounced"), although some scholars would classify any hadeeth of a weak reporter as *munkar*. A hadeeth could also be classified as *munkar* if its text contradicts general sayings of the Prophet. If a hadeeth reported by a reliable person contains some additional information not narrated by other authentic sources, the addition is accepted so long as it doesn't contradict them, and the addition is known as *ziyadatu thiqah* ("an addition by one trustworthy"). However, if a reporter adds something to the hadeeth being narrated, then the hadeeth is classified as *mudraj* or "interpolated". If this occurs in a hadeeth, then it is usually in its text and often for the purpose of explaining a difficult word. In a few examples this occurs in the *isnad* - a reporter takes a part of one *isnad* and adds it to another *isnad*. A reporter found in the habit of intentional *idraj* or interpolation is generally considered a liar, although scholars are more lenient with those reporters who may do it to explain a difficult word (Hasan 37-39).

In the sixth category, hadeeth that contain hidden defects in their *isnad* or text are classified as *ma'lool* or *mu'allal* ("defective"). This could be due to such things as classifying a hadeeth as *musnad* when it is actually *mursal* or attributing a hadeeth to a particular Companion when it really comes from another one. In order to detect such defects, all the *isnads* of a hadeeth have to be collected and examined. For example,

"Some scholars wrote works on which Successors heard hadeeth from which Companions. From this information is it known that Al-Hasan Al-Basri did not meet Ali, although there is a slight chance that he may have seen him during his childhood in Madinah. This is significant as many Sufi traditions are said to go back to Al-Hasan Al-Basri who is said to have reported directly from Ali." (Hasan

There can also be uncertainty about the *isnad* or text, in which case the hadeeth is classified as *mudtarib* (“shaky”). This occurs if reporters disagree about some points in the *isnad* or text in such a way that no opinion prevails. A hadeeth may be classified as *maqloob* (“changed” or “reversed”) if in the *isnad* a name was reversed (i.e., Ka’b b. Murra versus Murra b. Ka’b) or if the order of a sentence in the text is reversed (Azami 66). This also applies to those hadeeth whose text has been given a different *isnad* or vice versa, or those in which a reporter’s name was replaced with another (Hasan 41-42).

The seventh and last category to be discussed here is classification according to the quality of the reporters, upon which the final verdict on a hadeeth critically depends. Hadeeth reported by those known to be *adil*, *hafiz*, *thabit*, and *thiqa* are the highest ranked hadeeth and are classified as *saheeh* or “sound.” For someone to be considered *adil*, he had to be a very pious Muslim, honest and truthful in all of his dealings. Through careful comparison, verbal agreement found in the text of a hadeeth among various transmitters indicated who was the most accurate (*thabit*), the most reliable (*thiqa*), and who had the best memory (*hafiz*). If any scholar falls less than this ideal in one or more categories, but he is not criticized, then the hadeeth reported by him are judged to be less sound, or *hasan* (“fair”). If a reporter was known to have a weak memory or make mistakes due to carelessness, then his hadeeth are judged as *da’eef* (“weak”) (Burton 110-111).

Of course, there are other factors which play into the final verdict on a hadeeth, and in the words of Ibn Al-Salah, “A *saheeh* hadeeth is the one which has a continuous *isnad*, made up of reporters of trustworthy memory from similar authorities, and which is found to be free from any irregularities (i.e. in the text) or defects (i.e., in the *isnad*).”

According to Al-Tirmidhi a *hasan* hadeeth is “A hadeeth which is not *shadhhdh*, nor contains a disparaged reporter in its *isnad*, and which is reported through more than one route of narration” (Hasan 44-46). A hadeeth that doesn’t reach the requirements for a *hasan* hadeeth is classified as *da’eef*, and often this is due to discontinuity in the *isnad*. It can also be classified as *da’eef* if one of the reporters does not have a good reputation for whatever reason, be it because of his making many mistakes or being dishonest. If the defects are many and severe, then the hadeeth is closer to being classified as *mawdu’* or fabricated. According to Al-Dhahabi the *mawdu’* hadeeth is the one whose text goes against established norms of the Prophet’s sayings or whose *isnad* contains a liar. A hadeeth can also be established as *mawdu’* due to “external evidence related to a discrepancy found in the dates or times of a particular incident” (Hasan 49).

In conclusion, the aforementioned classifications constitute only a fraction of the total number of classifications that exist. The studies in hadeeth are very complex, and it seems that the scholars thought of every imaginable angle from which to analyze hadeeth. All this was for the purpose of distinguishing between different types of narrations, especially for distinguishing the authentic from the inauthentic.

The web address of this article:

<https://www.islamreligion.com/articles/1169/modern-historical-methodology-vs-hadeeth-methodology-part-5>

Copyright © 2006 - 2023 IslamReligion.com. All rights reserved.